

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                                       | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|---|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/591,746  | 06/12/2000  | Shun Zheng Yu        | 4555-103 US             | 3607             |  |
| 7590 04/07/2005                                       |             |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |  |
| Diane Dunn McKay                                      |             |                      | DINH, KHANH Q           |                  |  |
| Mathews Collins Shepherd & Gould PA 100 Thanet Circle |             |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
| Suite 306   |             |                      | 2151                    |                  |  |
| Princeton, NJ 08540                                   |             |                      | DATE MAILED: 04/07/2005 |                  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)  |   |  |  |  |
|---|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action   | 09/591,746   | YU ET AL.   |   |  |  |  |
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief  | Examiner   | Art Unit  |   |  |  |  |
|   | Khanh Dinh   | 2151  |   |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | ars on the cover sheet with the c  | correspondence add  | ress  |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 09 February 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS  |  |   |   |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicar must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continue Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:</li> <li>a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.</li> </ul> |  |   |   |  |  |  |
| no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7  | eriod for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In each, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  In the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  In the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  In the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the final rejection.  In the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection, which is later. In the statutory period for reply expire later later. In the statutory period for reply expire later. In the statutory period for reply e |   |   |  |  |  |
| have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL  | tension and the corresponding amount<br>shortened statutory period for reply orig<br>than three months after the mailing da  | of the fee. The appropr<br>inally set in the final Offi<br>te of the final rejection, o | iate extension fee<br>ce action; or (2) a<br>even if timely filed |  |  |  |
| 2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing an appeal brief. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appea has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS  |  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);   |  |   |   |  |  |  |
| (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet<br>appeal; and/or   |  |   | the issues for  |  |  |  |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a<br>NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1   |  | ected claims.   |   |  |  |  |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).   |  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the   |  |   |   |  |  |  |
| non-allowable claim(s).  7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  |  | ll be entered and an e  | explanation of  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) allowed: <u>15-29</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-14</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u> .  |  |   |   |  |  |  |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).  | t before or on the date of filing a No<br>d sufficient reasons why the affidav   | otice of Appeal will <u>no</u><br>it or other evidence is                               | t be entered a necessary and                                      |  |  |  |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.  Output  Description:  | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear<br>y and was not earlier presented. S   | al and/or appellant fai<br>ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(  | ils to provide a<br>1).   |  |  |  |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  | •  | •   |   |  |  |  |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  |  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N  | lo(s).<br>Vam   |   |  |  |  |
|   | ZARI   | NI MAUNG  | _   |  |  |  |
|   | SUPERVISORY  | PATENT EXAMIN   | ER  |  |  |  |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 9-04)

**Application No. 09/591,746** 

## Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The new limitations in claims 1 and 10 " said update cycle of pull content is the average length of time between two successive expiration times or two successive modifications of said pull content...to optimize said at least one pull service" would require further search and/or consideration.